

## **What next for Medicare+Choice**

**ISSUE:** The Commission expressed a strong sentiment at the last meeting to continue making recommendations for Medicare+Choice payment policy to adhere to the principle of financial neutrality between M+C payment and payment under the traditional fee-for-service (FFS) Medicare program. Some challenges and questions must be addressed before M+C payment policy can fully adhere to the principle. In addition, several commissioners expressed interest in exploring how competitive bidding might be used in Medicare+Choice payment policy.

**KEY POINTS:** While the Commission would like to see M+C payment policy be guided by the financial neutrality principle, several barriers and issues remain to be addressed by payment policy.

- The Commission has consistently supported the need for risk-adjustment to be part of the payment system and CMS is currently unsure of its approach on risk-adjustment.
- MedPAC has not made recommendations on the carve-out of graduate medical education (GME) spending from the M+C payment rates, but that policy raises interesting questions related to financial neutrality.
- Some congressional proposals would use competitive bidding to set M+C payment rates. MedPAC has begun to analyze the use of competitive bidding and how it might work in a financial neutrality framework.

**ACTION:** Staff will present the draft chapter for the March report. Included will be a presentation of a draft recommendation on the graduate medical education carve-out from payments to Medicare+Choice plans. Commission will discuss the chapter and the recommendation, providing guidance for completion of the chapter.

**STAFF CONTACT:** Scott Harrison, Susanne Seagrave, Ariel Winter, Dan Zabinski (202-653-2654)